Application No. Applicant(s) 09/142,452 WACK ET AL. Interview Summary Examin r Art Unit 1746 Alexander Markoff All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): Alexander Markoff. (3) Dr. Wack. (2) Dr. Barske. (4)Robert W. Beker. Date of Interview: 28 March 2002. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊠ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: all pending. Identification of prior art discussed: all of the record . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pending claims were discussed, no agreement was reached. The examiner stated that he will issue a restriction requirement between the method and composition claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims: allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked): Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. ALEXANDER MARKOFF

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required